

Daily Rules, Proposed Rules, and Notices of the Federal Government

HomeDepartmentsAgenciesDates

thefederalregister.com

Google™ Custom Search

Federal Register: January 6, 2009 (Volume 74, Number 3)

FR Doc E8-31415DOCID: fr06ja09-10

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

CFR Citation: 46 CFR Part 197

RIN ID: RIN 1625-AA21

USC ID: [USCG-1998-3786]

NOTICE: PROPOSED RULES

DOCID: fr06ja09-10

DOCUMENT ACTION: Advance notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUBJECT CATEGORY:

Commercial Diving Operations

DATES: Comments and related material must either be submitted to our online docket via <http://www.regulations.gov> on or before March 9, 2009 or reach the Docket Management Facility by that date.

DOCUMENT SUMMARY:

The Coast Guard proposes to amend the commercial diving regulations. We request public comment on industry standards and current practices that might be incorporated in our regulations or accepted as regulatory equivalents; the use of thirdparty auditing; new requirements for compliance documentation; the adoption of recommendations made following the investigation of a 1996 fatality; and possible additional regulatory revisions. This rulemaking will promote the enhancement of maritime safety which is a strategic goal of the Coast Guard.

SUMMARY:

Commercial Diving Operations,

Log Auditing Software

Guaranteed fastest system for fleets of five or more trucks

www.rapidlog.com

DOT Regulations

DQF Management Service, Forms, Logs & More DOT Compliance Solutions.

www.JJKellerServices.com

OASIS-C Software

OASIS-C Medicare Software Home health software for oasis-c

www.healthwyse.com/oasisc

Monitor Suspicious Orders

Manage pharma suspicious orders Compliance with CFR 1301.74b.

www.e-supplylink.com

Ads by Google

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION	
Table of Contents for Preamble	
I. Public Participation and Request for Comments	
A. Submitting Comments	
B. Viewing Comments and Documents	
C. Privacy Act	
D. Public Meeting	
II. Abbreviations	
III. Background and Purpose	

TOP SEARCHES

☐

insurance quotes

☐

air travel

☐

gas exploration

☐

home equity

☐

natural gas

☐

mesothelioma

☐

flood insurance

POPULAR SITES

Underwater Welding Course

Find A Degree With Concentration In Underwater Welding At NatPoly Today

damages naturally ads

Commercial Diving Schools

Request free Information from Commercial Diving Schools Near You!

www.collegebound.net

Ads by Google

COMMON CFR SEARCHES

☐

14 CFR Part 71

☐

14 CFR Part 39

☐

44 CFR Part 67

☐

26 CFR Part 1

☐

40 CFR Part 52

☐

50 CFR Part 17

☐

39 CFR Part 3020

☐

6 CFR Part 5

☐

14 CFR Part 97

☐

40 CFR Part 180

I. Public Participation and Request for Comments

We encourage you to participate in this rulemaking by submitting comments and related materials. All comments received will be posted, without change, to <http://www.regulations.gov> and will include any personal information you have provided.

A. Submitting Comments

If you submit a comment, please include the docket number for this rulemaking (USCG19983786), indicate the specific section of this document to which each comment applies, and provide a reason for each suggestion or recommendation. You may submit your comments and material online, or by fax, mail or hand delivery, but please use only one of these means. We recommend that you include your name and a mailing address, an email address, or a phone number in the body of your document so that we can contact you if we have questions regarding your submission.

To submit your comment online, go to <http://www.regulations.gov>, select the Advanced Docket Search option on the right side of the screen, insert "USCG19983786" in the Docket ID box, press Enter, and then click on the balloon shape in the Actions column. If you submit your comments by mail or hand delivery, submit them in an unbound format, no larger than 8½ by 11 inches,

[[Page 415]]

suitable for copying and electronic filing. If you submit them by mail and would like to know that they reached the Facility, please enclose a stamped, self-addressed postcard or envelope.

We will consider all comments and material received during the comment period and may change this proposed rule based on your comments.

B. Viewing Comments and Documents

To view comments, as well as documents mentioned in this preamble as being available in the docket, go to <http://www.regulations.gov>, select the Advanced Docket Search option on the right side of the screen, insert USCG19983786 in the Docket ID box, press Enter, and then click on the item in the Docket ID column. If you do not have access to the Internet, you may view the docket online by visiting the Docket Management Facility in Room W12140 on the ground floor of the Department of Transportation West Building, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. We have an agreement with the Department of Transportation to use the Docket Management Facility. C. Privacy Act

Anyone can search the electronic form of comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review a Privacy Act notice regarding our public dockets in the January 17, 2008 issue of the Federal Register (73 FR 3316).

D. Public Meeting

We do not now plan to hold a public meeting. But you may submit a request for one to the Docket Management Facility at the address under ADDRESSES explaining why one would be beneficial. If we determine that one would aid this rulemaking, we will hold one at a time and place announced by a later notice in the Federal Register.

II. Abbreviations

ACDE Association of Commercial Diving Educators
ADC Association of Diving Contractors
ADCI Association of Diving Contractors International
ANPRM Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking
IMCA International Marine Contractors Association
NOSAC National Offshore Safety Advisory Committee

III. Background and Purpose

In 1994, an industry group known as the Association of Diving Contractors (ADC) (now the Association of Diving Contractors International, or ADCI), asked the Coast Guard to update commercial diving operation regulations in 46 CFR Part 197, Subpart B. Among other things, ADC recommended that we incorporate their consensus standards by reference. In response, we began this rulemaking and published an advance notice of proposed rulemaking (ANPRM, 63 FR 34840, June 26, 1998; comment period extended, 63 FR 50848, Sept. 23, 1998). The ANPRM referenced ADC's recommendations, and sought public comment on the necessity and scope of potential regulatory revisions.

Public comments received in response to the 1998 ANPRM revealed a deep split of opinion over incorporation of the ADC standards. Although the majority of commenters favored incorporation of the ADC standards, many said those standards were either inadequate or, alternatively, were unnecessarily burdensome and costly for small businesses. Other industry groups—the Association of Commercial Diving Educators (ACDE) and the International Marine Contractors Association (IMCA)—offered their own proposals. No further regulatory action was taken. However, the Coast Guard continued to recognize the need for further regulation to improve the safety of commercial diving.

Earlier this year, the Coast Guard received recommendations for commercial diving regulatory improvements from the National Offshore Safety Advisory Committee (NOSAC), a Federal advisory committee that advises the Coast Guard on matters related to operations and safety on the outer continental shelf including commercial diving safety. We have placed those recommendations in the docket for this rulemaking and are in the process of analyzing them for possible action. To assist in our analysis, we are soliciting public comments on the NOSAC recommendations, and on other ways in which we might improve our regulations, in light of experience and lessons learned since 1978, and since our first ANPRM in 1998. As noted, commercial diving industry groups were active in responding to the 1998 ANPRM, and we look forward to hearing from them again. We encourage those groups to work together to explore possible areas of agreement as to the regulatory changes that might do most to improve diver safety throughout the industry.

To assist you in organizing your comments, we invite your consideration of the following observations:

1. Industry standards. Our 1978 regulations in Part 197 provide a minimum framework for commercial diving safety. We are aware that in many regulated industries, regulated persons and companies often develop voluntary standards that provide protection at levels equal or superior to the protection that regulations can provide. Increasingly since 1978, Federal agencies, including the Coast Guard, have encouraged the development of, and compliance with, these standards. They provide regulatory flexibility and can be effective, efficient tools for attaining regulatory safety objectives. We would like to know whether such standards exist, or could be developed, for the commercial diving industry. We could consider incorporating such standards in our Part 197 regulations, or we could consider accepting compliance with such standards as equivalent to compliance with our regulations.

As previously discussed, public comments on our 1998 ANPRM revealed a deep split of opinions over the adequacy, effectiveness, and cost of the thencurrent industry standards. The apparent lack of industry consensus as to the value of the thencurrent standards was a major reason why the Coast Guard took no further regulatory action in the ensuing decade. Therefore, we strongly encourage commercial diving industry groups to work together to define standards to which all or most commercial diving operations can subscribe.

2. Thirdparty audits. The Coast Guard prefers to use regulations as a tool to encourage compliance, before injuries or deaths occur, rather than as a way of punishing violators in the wake of a tragedy. A thirdparty audit system could augment Coast Guard resources and help commercial diving operators avoid casualties before they happen, by providing regular monitoring of an operator's compliance with Part 197 or with an equivalent industry standard. The Coast Guard could regulate thirdparty auditors, and require commercial diving operators to be audited following promulgation of a final rule, and then annually and after any accident resulting in a diver's injury or death.

3. Compliance documentation. Even with annual compliance audits, there remains the potential for accidents leading to injury or death. The best protection against accidents are the diving operation's safety policies and practices, which need to be encouraged at all organizational levels beginning
[[Page 416]]
with industry owners and operators. The Coast Guard believes that in many industries, owners and operators are more aware of safety requirements and do more to make sure their employees follow those requirements when they must document their compliance with those requirements.

4. Rig No. 12 report. The Coast Guard devotes significant resources to studying the causes of accidents that result in serious property losses, injury, or death, so that similar accidents can be avoided in the future. Lessons learned from tragedy make special demands on us to give them serious consideration and to implement them if possible. In the docket for this rulemaking at <http://www.Regulations.gov>, we are placing the formal investigation report into a commercial diving death at Cliff's Drilling Rig No. 12 in 1996. The report includes 13 recommendations and the Coast Guard is considering adopting most of these, in some cases with modifications.

5. Regulatory priorities. We have indicated our interest in industry standards, thirdparty audits, compliance documentation, and the Rig No. 12 report recommendations. In addition, we invite you to comment on overall regulatory approaches or on specific regulatory requirements that you believe should be a priority for this rulemaking. We are also inviting comments on current industry practices and changes in circumstances from conditions existing in 1998.

6. Costs and Benefits. We request comments on the costs and benefits of regulatory revisions suggested by the commenters. Providing us with specific information on the costs and benefits of regulatory suggestions will assist us with fully evaluating the merits of such suggestions. We are especially interested in information providing data on the cost of regulatory suggestions on small entities, and State, local, and tribal governments.

Dated: December 22, 2008.
Brian M. Salerno,
Assistant Commandant for Marine Safety, Security and Stewardship, U.S. Coast Guard.
[FR Doc. E831415 Filed 1509; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 491015P

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT

If you have questions on this proposed rule, call Lieutenant Commander Rogers Henderson, U.S. Coast Guard, telephone (202) 3721411. If you have questions on viewing or submitting material to the docket, call Renee V. Wright, Program Manager, Docket Operations, telephone 2023669826.